

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/864,719	Applicant(s) BRINKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Leslie Wong	Art Unit 2167	

All Participants:

(1) Leslie Wong.

(2) Mark D. Simpson (215)717-2243.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 1, 8, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicants' representative to suggest that the above claims be further defined to include LEFT OUTER JOIN (instead of just outer join) and add language to indicate that the test queries are redefined as part of a larger query. Also, all method claims should recite a "computer-implemented" method to ascertain that the claimed limitations are tied to a technological art. Applicants' representative agreed to do so and he authorized the Examiner to make the above changes via an Examiner's Amendment.